

Application No: 10/672,338
Response Dated June 13, 2006
Reply to Office Action of December 13, 2005

REMARKS:

The Examiner stated that two separate inventions are disclosed in the application and required restriction between the Invention I of Claims 1-25 drawn to a coloring kit and the Invention II of Claims 26-30 drawn to a method of coloring roots of a user's hair. Applicant elects to prosecute, without restriction, the Invention I of Claims 1-26 drawn to the coloring kit.

Furthermore, the Examiner stated that if applicant elects to prosecute Invention I, that she must select to prosecute either Species I of Figure 1 or Species II of Figure 2. Applicant elects to prosecute Species I drawn to Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12-16, and 18-25.

Applicant respectfully requests that the application be examined on the merits as to the elected Invention I and Species I of Figure 1.

Respectfully,

LAW OFFICE OF BRIAN R. RAYVE



Brian R. Rayve
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 39,810

June 13, 2006
Park City, Utah
001-response to restriction requirement.wpd